

64 4037

UNITED STATES ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY

WASHINGTON

11 JUN 1964

OFFICE OF
THE DIRECTOR

DDI-2573-64

June 8, 1964

State Dept. declassification & release instructions on file

SECRET

Dear Mr. McCone:

In connection with the proposals that the U. S. has made at the ENDC, you may recollect that we have proposed with our freeze on strategic delivery vehicles a freeze on anti-ballistic missile defenses as well. Questions have arisen as to whether it would be either feasible or desirable to try to include under the freeze some defense systems that might have capability primarily against relatively short-range ballistic missiles and high-altitude aircraft. During the last few weeks we have been attempting to determine where the limits might most desirably be drawn, and which criteria might be most useful, in distinguishing between those defense systems the development and production of which would be prohibited and those that might be permitted.

Also, the question of the desirability of trying to impose limitations on testing of ABM systems has arisen in connection with the report of the Interagency Task Force on Prototype Testing and Bill Foster's letter to you recommending a U. S. position based on the findings of that Task Force. Finally, it has seemed to us desirable that we consider whether a freeze on strategic delivery systems that did not include an ABM freeze could be acceptable to the U. S. since the USSR can probably be expected to prefer, and might raise, such an alternative.

GROUP 4. Downgraded at
3 year intervals; de-
classified after 12 years.

SECRET

The Honorable
John A. McCone,
Director of Central Intelligence.

SECRET

- 2 -

Considering that these questions regarding the way in which ABM defenses should be handled in the context of the SNDV freeze proposal have not been resolved by our efforts to date, we have concluded that probably we should now attempt to deal with them by convening a task force consisting of experts from the DOD, CIA, this Agency, and others from outside the government.

We believe the task force should consider in the context of our SNDV freeze proposal:

- (1) the question of the definition of ABM systems to be affected by the freeze and the associated verification requirements and capabilities;
- (2) the interaction between limitations on ABM and air defense systems, including the defenses of our allies, and the desirability and feasibility of including some limitations that might constrain both;
- (3) the implications of including a freeze on ABM deployment and the question of acceptability to us of not doing so;
- (4) the desirability and implications of including those limitations on testing of ABM systems that the Task Force on Prototype Testing considered were feasible.

It is anticipated that the task force would meet intermittently during the next two months and deliver a report of its findings in August which would then be used in developing a U. S. position on the above questions for consideration by the Committee of Principals.

If you concur in our suggestion that such an effort is desirable, we would like your support. We have discussed with, and are in agreement with, Dr. Wheelon on the question of desirable participation by personnel from his office. We would, of course, also welcome participation from other parts of the CIA if you think it appropriate.

Sincerely,

Adrian S. Fisher

Adrian S. Fisher
Acting Director

SECRET

Standard Form 63
November 1961
GSA Gen. Reg. No. 27

MEMORANDUM OF CALL

Date	Time
------	------

TO—

☐ YOU WERE CALLED BY— ☐ YOU WERE VISITED BY—

TELEPHONE:	Number or code	Extension
------------	----------------	-----------

- ☐ PLEASE CALL ☐ WAITING TO SEE YOU
☐ WILL CALL AGAIN ☐ WISHES AN APPOINTMENT
☐ RETURNING YOUR CALL
☐ IS REFERRED TO YOU BY:

LEFT THIS MESSAGE: *add: Will L*
held on 16 June at 1:30.
ACDA - State Room 5941

Received By—


63-105

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1962 OF—660799

UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		X	SECRET
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIALS	
1	[REDACTED] ONE Rm 7E62, Hqs.		12/6	[Signature]	
2					
3	[REDACTED] ORR Rm 3F43, Hqs.		12 June 61	[Signature]	
4					
5					
6	[REDACTED] Rm 7E31				
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION		<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARE REPLY		
<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL		<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH	<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMMENT		<input type="checkbox"/> FILE	<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN		
<input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE		
Remarks:					
<p><u>3 to 6:</u> No Thank you! E.v.P.</p> <p>See last sentence. Not comment</p> <p>6 to 3 - [REDACTED] (Osi) will represent DDS&T at mtg in ACDA next week. Do not make any decision here</p> <p>Do Do you want to go or send a rep? It - odd!</p>					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.				DATE	
[REDACTED]				11/1/61	
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	

Approved For Release 2001/08/31 : CIA-RDP80B01495R001400120005-9

*Reply sent by
OSI
mailing 5
12 June*



STATINTL

STATINTL

Approved For Release 2001/08/31 : CIA-RDP80B01495R001400120005-9

Approved For Release 2001/08/31 : CIA-RDP80B01495R001400120005-9

SENDER WITH P		CLASS		DDP80B01495R001400120005-9	
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIALS	
1	DD/I				
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE	
Remarks: <p style="text-align: center;">For information.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">DD/S&T has for action.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">DCI and DDCI have copies.</p>					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.				DATE	
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	

Approved For Release 2001/08/31 : CIA-RDP80B01495R001400120005-9